

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 OAKLAND DIVISION

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR-08-00244 SBA
12 Plaintiff,)
13 v.) ORDER SETTING MATTER FOR TRIAL
14 WILLIAM JOSEPH LITTLE, JR.,) SETTING ON JULY 9, 2013
15 Defendant.) Date: July 9, 2013
16) Time: 3:30 p.m.
17) Court: Hon. Saundra Brown
18) Armstrong
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

18 The above-captioned matter was previously set on June 25, 2013 before this Court for
19 trial setting. However, the parties now jointly request that this Court continue the matter to July
20 9, 2013 at 3:30 p.m. for trial setting and that the Court continue to exclude under the Speedy
21 Trial Act between the date of this stipulation and July 9, 2013.


22 The parties had previously reached an agreement pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the
23 Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, which was rejected by the District Court on May 15, 2013.
24 In turn, the parties began re-preparing for trial while attempting to reach a revised negotiated
25 pre-trial disposition. To date, the parties inform the Court that they have been unable to reach a
26 negotiated pre-trial disposition. Accordingly, the parties have jointly requested additional time
27 to prepare the case for trial, including conducting additional factual investigation and legal
28

1 research, and therefore jointly request that this matter be continued to July 9, 2013 at 3:30 p.m.
2 for trial setting for a status conference before the sitting Magistrate Judge.

3 Furthermore, the parties have stipulated and agreed that failing to exclude the time
4 between today's date and July 9, 2013 would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable
5 time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, 18
6 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), and that the ends of justice served by excluding the time from
7 computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the
8 defendant in a speedy trial, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

9 Accordingly, **IT SO ORDERED** that this matter is on July 9, 2013 at 3:30 p.m. for trial
10 setting and that time is excluded between today's date and July 9, 2013 based on the Court's
11 findings that failing to exclude the time between today's date and July 9, 2013 would
12 unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking
13 into account the exercise of due diligence, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), and that the ends of
14 justice served by excluding the time from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the
15 best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). **IT IS**
16 **FURTHER ORDERED** that the defendant's physical appearance is waived on July 9, 2013.

17
18
19 DATED: 6/24/13


HON. SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
United States District Judge